

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,664	09/17/2003	John E. Eng	BOE,109/01-947	8998
55132 WILDMAN H	7590 10/29/200 ARROLD ALLEN & F		EXAM	IINER
AND THE BOEING COMPANY			ELDRED, JOHN W	
225 W. WACI CHICAGO, II			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3641	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/666,664 ENG. JOHN E. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

	/J. Woodrow Eldred/	3641						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY			0) DAYS,					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin	nely filed	ommunication					
Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	cause the application to become ABANDONE	D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ommonication.					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Au	igust 2007.							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-10. 15-19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) 11-14 is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	9 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 Cl	R 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents		on No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage					
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.						
Attachment(s)								

 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ___ 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application. 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SS/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date ___

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/666,664 Page 2

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

 Applicant's election of Group IV, claims 11-14, in the reply filed on 8-17-07 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 11, lines 5-6, "the spacecraft platform" has no proper antecedent basis in the case.

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caldwell (7,036,773) in view of Bombled et al (5,613,653).

Cadwell discloses a system for communication on a spacecraft comprising a spacecraft (i.e. Space Shuttle, Ariane, Delta, Atlas, Titan, Pegusus, Taurus, Proton) each of which has a communication bus and a telemetry and command processor, a plurality of payloads 20 mounted on the spacecraft; and a second (e.g. "central") bus interface which is connected to the payloads and comprises combined power and communication wires Art Unit: 3641

(see Figure 3 and column 6, lines 53-column 7, line 5 and column 8, lines 10-13.) Since the communications are able to be understood by the various elements, it is inherent that there is a "decoder for processing the command sent from the central bus interface unit." Cadwell fails to specify that the spacecraft bus is coupled to the central bus interface. Bombled et al teach that it is well known to have communication between a spacecraft communication system (satellite) and payload communication system (launcher). See especially column 6, lines 42-56. Motivation to combine is the improved performance and control available when data and commands can be passed from the transporting spacecraft to the payloads in order to improve the deployment and functioning of the payloads. To employ the teachings of Bombled et al on the spacecraft system of Caldwell and have the two bus interface systems coupled to each other is considered to have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Caldwell (7,036,773) in view of Bombled et al (5,613,653) as applied to claims 11 and 12 above,
 and further in view of Boyle et al (5,172,717).

Caldwell fails to disclose that there is a switch 559 for interrupting the power on the combined power and communication wire in the process of sending signals. Boyle et al teach that it is well known to utilize a switch to interrupt power in a combined power/communication system. See Figure 10 and column 29, lines 39-50. Motivation to combine is the improved signal condition available when the power is not present on the wire being used for communication. To employ the teachings of Boyle et al on the system of Caldwell and have a switch to interrupt power is considered to have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /J. Woodrow Eldred/ whose telephone number is 571-272-6901.
 The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.. Application/Control Number: 10/666,664 Page 4

Art Unit: 3641

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on 571-272-6873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. Woodrow Eldred/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3641

JWE